ATTACHMENT J

COMMENTS RECEIVED BY NCDOT AND TOWN OF CARRBORO STAFF

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803	Project 9.8070219	Orange County
NAME: $\frac{S[a]_r}{5}$ ADDRESS: $\frac{5}{5}$	October 25, 2001 len Blace Cha	WHIII NC 27514
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ergen Creek for a make alt a cyclists to any school. Coss on N town (I know
) (// =	=16 elements ped & bipe according to bridge to	V = ()
Comments may be mai	drails, posts	led materials much, etc
Leigh B. Lane Public Involvement and C Office of Human Environ 1583 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1583 E-mail: llane@dot.state.n	ment Phone: (919) 250-4092 Fa:	x: (919) 250-4208
) Make (4) GCE S	re- soal per for schoo	d + bike 1 & celu-center

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803

Project 9.8070219

Orange County

Fax: (919) 250-4208

NAME: 4. Coleman Day (w) 933-6609 (4) 929-4135 ADDRESS: 15/ Dogwood Acres Dr., Chepsel Hill 27516

COMMENTS AND LOR QUESTIONS:

- Relectrical (rosswalks are needed @ \$5 64/smith Level,

- Relectrical (rosswalks are needed @ \$5 64/smith Level,

- The Element of Smith Level, BPW & Smith Level, Cultiveth & Smith

Level & Siclewalk needed on South Sich of Cultiveth & Smith

Level & Sound barriers should be considered on

West sicle of Smith Level Road Near Power Live

- Improve Pediertrian Crosing @ Bridge or Smith Level Rel.

Comments may be mailed to:

Leigh B. Lane
Public Involvement and Community Studies Unit
Office of Human Environment
1583 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1583 Phone: (919) 250-4092

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803

Project 9.8070219

Orange County

Fax: (919) 250-4208

October 25, 2001

NAME: Augustus Neville
NAME:
ADDRESS: 100 B Cal brety Rd, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27576 COMMENTS AND VOR QUESTIONS: (Teal Place Apts)
(Tel Dlace Ants)
COMMENTS AND OR QUESTIONS:
I am concernal about the addition of
\mathcal{L}
curb & guller & a side walk on the South side of
a la
Cul over the group ware
Teal place parking lot is very steeps and I don't believe that it will be poss; bly to build a side walk without relocating the Calbrety
lear proce part of the test of the
I live that it will be possion to own a,
bell as the forth to Cultivate
cide walk winder he ocar y as cally rein
Pl to the north,
Vy to the man ()

Comments may be mailed to:

Leigh B. Lane
Public Involvement and Community Studies Unit
Office of Human Environment
1583 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1583 Phone: (919) 250-4092

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803

Project 9.8070219

Orange County

Fax: (919) 250-4208

October 25, 2001

NAME:

Jine Schokel

ADDRESS: 602 Maner Ridge h.

Please and list of attendes

at hearing.

Possible additional meeting required due to school haliday.

Comments may be mailed to:

Leigh B. Lane Public Involvement and Community Studies Unit Office of Human Environment 1583 Mail Service Center

Raleigh, NC 27699-1583 Phone: (919) 250-4092

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803	Project 9.8070219	Orange County
	October 25, 2001	
	ald S. Doody	
ADDRESS: 605	Major Ridge Drive	
Opplease provide all of project;	R QUESTIONS: 'engineering details regardn Be specific (i.e. # Cars/	g necessity, timing & design hour today, Type projection Syx & D.
(2) Closing of any It will families u South of a light in	part of Willow Dak I cause increased traffic 1th Children living along Willow Oak Lane. This needs to be installed at W	Lane is unacceptable is reduce safely for Major Ridge Drive is critical. Perhaps Villow Oak & Smith Level.
3 If Capacity detail on lane, etc.)	y of road is an issue Road size (i.e. 4 lane	w/Median Vs. 2 lane w/tur
Leigh B. Lane	A FULL MEDIAN SEEMS L FASONABLE. nailed to: d. Community Studies Unit	JAANJYY TO WIDE

Fax: (919) 250-4208

E-mail: llane@dot.state.nc.us

(JUST)

Office of Human Environment 1583 Mail Service Center

Raleigh, NC 27699-1583 Phone: (919) 250-4092

Subject: [Fwd: Fwd: FW: Smith Level Road Project]

Date: Fri, 09 Nov 2001 15:09:38 -0500

From: "Mike Cowan" <mcowan@dot.state.nc.us>

Organization: North Carolina Department of Transportation

To: Leigh Lane <llane@dot.state.nc.us>, Art McMillan <amcmillan@dot.state.nc.us>

CC: klincoln@co.orange.nc.us, PTHAMES@co.orange.nc.us

Leigh and Art,

Attached is an email from a couple who have issues with U-2803. As you can see this correspondence has traveled to several people seeking a response. Please prepare a response and direct it to Representative Insko so that she may respond to her constituents. If you have questions, please feel free to contact me.

Mike Cowan

Subject: Fwd: FW: Smith Level Road Project

Date: Fri, 09 Nov 2001 12:38:50 -0500

From: "Karen Lincoln" <klincoln@co.orange.nc.us>

To: <mcowan@dot.state.nc.us>

Thanks, Mike!

Karen

Subject: Fwd: FW: Smith Level Road Project

Date: Fri, 09 Nov 2001 11:21:37 -0500

From: "Paul Thames" <PTHAMES@co.orange.nc.us>
To: "Karen Lincoln" <klincoln@co.orange.nc.us>

Karen, I received the attached email from Verla Insko's office. I have no clue as to what this lady is talking about as I know nothing about the widening of Smith Level Road, any of the traffic projections for the project, or the design rationale behind any component of the project. Do you have any information here and can you enlighten me? Thanks, Paul Thames

Subject: FW: Smith Level Road Project

Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2001 10:35:08 -0500

From: "Linda McCrodden (Rep. Insko)" <Inskola@ncleg.net>
To: "'pthames@co.orange.nc.us'" <pthames@co.orange.nc.us>

Paul, Representative Insko received the following e-mail from one of her constituents. Could you please clarify some of the issues they raised for us. Thank you. Linda McCrodden(Legislative Asst for Rep Insko)

Representative Verla Insko 24th House District Chatham and Orange Counties 2121 Legislative Building

Raleigh, NC 27601 Ph: 919-733-7208 Fx: 919-754-3185

----Original Message----

From: Thomas McCown [mailto:thomas.mccown@css.unc.edu]

Sent: Wednesday, November 07, 2001 10:09 AM

To: Verlai@ncleg.net

Subject: Smith Level Road Project

Dear Representative Insko:

I was quite disconcerted when I attended the DOT meeting regarding the proposed widening of Smith Level Road. I believe that anyone with common sense would have the same reaction. The basis of the proposed widening is to alleviate present and future traffic problems, but as presented this plan will not achieve this goal. First, the major source of traffic backup revolves around the entrance to Frank Porter Graham elementary school, yet the road widening will be distal to this point. Thus, in the morning, the same traffic delays will be encountered, but now there will be a larger 0.6 mile "parking

lot" to contain these vehicles. Secondly, the DOT projected an increase in traffic volume, but this projection did not appear to account for the ongoing widening of 15-501. If as they believe that most of the cars will come from

Chatam county, the widening of 15-501 should significantly reduce these estimates. Moreover, how can a 0.6 mile road widening alter the flow of

cars over a several mile stretch of Smith Level Road? Finally, if implemented as

proposed, this plan will cause a phenomenal traffic jam on a road that enters

Smith Level, BPW road. The plan proposes a concrete median, so residents

one Berryhill exit and residents from one exit of the Villages apartments cannot turn left onto Smith Level road. This means that an enormous number

of vehicles will need to gain access to Smith Level road via BPW road.

Clearly, this traffic jam will far exceed the present minor inconvenience of Smith Level Road. It is inconceivable that a traffic engineer would miss such an obvious problem, but apparently, DOT did. In fact, at the meeting, the engineer's response to this comment was that the residents of the Villages could turn right and then make a U-turn on Smith Level Road!

With tight budgets, one would assume that major expenditures would be carefully conceived, designed and implemented to best alleviate both present

and future traffic problems. Even to a novice in these matters, the proposed plan to widen Smith Level Road fails to achieve any of these obvious goals.

The plan is both expensive and creates more problems than it alleviates. This stretch of road does need improvements but a three lane road with the present accesses would seem more rational.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter, and if in agreement, I hope you can bring some modicum of sanity back to the DOT.

Thomas and Kim McCown 603 Manor Ridge Road Carrboro, NC 27510 Subject: Opposition to Project 9.8070219

Date: Fri, 09 Nov 2001 13:51:26 -0500

From: "Roger E. Mitchell" < Roger_Mitchell@ncsu.edu>

To: Llane@dot.state.nc.us

Dear Leigh Lane,

As a resident of Carrboro, I am sending this email in lieu of the paper comment sheet distributed for the public hearing about the Smith Level Road project in Carrboro (listed as project 9.8070219 on the comment sheet).

I am strongly opposed to this project for a variety of reasons:

-the level of traffic does not warrant a four lane road with median strip; it is not clear what problem this proposed project would solve -the widening of the road would encourage more traffic through Berryhill to the apartments behind this development; the increased traffic through the neighborhood is a hazard to the many young children in that neighborhood; There would also be a significant increase in traffic within the development, since residents of the lower end of Manor Ridge Rd (and its associated cul-de-sacs) would have to travel the length of the development in order to exit the development at BPW Club Road; -the project would require taking so much land from Berryhill home owners that it would seriously reduce the value of properties in that development, increase noise level and reduce quality of life in the neighborhood -water run off from the increased amount of paving may cause flooding to homes in Berryhill - there would be significant tie-ups on BPW Club Road, as residents of Berryhill and the Villages converged on this one exit route. -the project is very expensive, and the project is not sufficiently beneficial to warrant that expense

I would like to go on record as opposing this project. If there is anything else I need to do to make this an official statement, please let me know.

Roger Mitchell 102 Juniper Ct. Carrboro, NC 27510 919-960-0064

Roger Mitchell, Ph.D.
Depart of Psychology, Box 7801
North Carolina State University
Raleigh, NC 27695-7801
Ph: 919-513-2546
Fax: 919-515-1716

e-mail: Roger_Mitchell@ncsu.edu

Web:

http://www.ncsu.edu/psychology/faculty/members/mitc_txt.htm

Subject: re; public hearing U-2803

Date: Fri, 09 Nov 2001 10:09:35 -0500

From: Muge Calikoglu <muge_calikoglu@med.unc.edu>

To: llane@dot.state.nc.us

Leigh B. Lane

> Public Involvement and Community Studies Unit

> Office of Human Environment

> 1583 Mail Service Center

> Raleigh, NC 27699-1583

> phone- 919-250-4092

> fax- 919-250-4208

> e-mail- llane@dot.state.nc.us

Please find below my concerns in regards to the SMITH LEVEL ROAD WIDENING project.

Plan

A- Widen Smith Level Road to 4 lane Highway with central median of 15 ft, sidewalks , bike lanes

Concerns:

speed of highway - 45 is not safe for either pedestrians or bikers
 extent of median - too large, blocks traffic flow of current

apartments and neighborhood

3- sidewalks- the bridge at the end of the road has sidewalk on only one side, why are we having sidewalks on both sides

also , since the sidewalsk do not continus under the bypass into North greensboro street or on North Greensboro stree into downtown Carrboro, where indeed are folks in the neighborhoods going to walk to? Certainly not the fireplace store at the corner, or the Carboro Public works facility!

Also since the speed is not safe and the Frank Porter Graham elementary School is not a walking zone, why are we having sidewalks in the

current plan?

Solution: I want sidewalks, I believe the future of Carrboro and Chapel Hill should be a pedestrian friendly community. Therefore, coordination is essential with the school system to make the area a SAFE WALK TO SCHOOL ZONE. The board of education should be involved as well as the principal of FPG elementary, Dr. Greene, who is currently even unaware of these extensive project that will affect his school and buss system for the next years. The speed limit sholu be reduced.

B- No left turns at Willow Oak, No left turns at the exit of the Villages

There will be a central median extending from the bridge to beyond Rockhaven entrance. Thus you can enter from Willow oak but you cannot leave!. Over 100 homes in Phase 3 and 4 will lose their main exit.

The only way they can get out of the neighborhood is to drive close to a mile through Manor Ridge, stop at the stop light, get on Orchard and exit the neighborhood through BPW Club Road.

The older homes in Phase 1 and 2 will be affected. The streets in front of their homes has turned into the main thoroughfare out of the neighborhood.

100 homes in the new areas X 2 cars per home X 4 visits per day= 800 car trips

30 homes in the old phase X 2 cars per home X 4 visits per

day=120 thus 920 trips coming out of the Orchard lane exit onto Smith Level.

Result: UNSAFE FOR OUR KIDS WHO PLAY IN THOSE STREETS

UNSAFE FOR US WHO WALK, RUN OR BIKE

DELAYS REACHING THE HOSPITAL IN CASE OF EMERGENCY, or

FIRE or EVACUATION

Solution: do not have a median , continue to allow left turns at Willow

Oak

C: Impact on BPW Club Road traffic

Since the median extends over the exit of the Villages Apartments, these folks can not make a left turn to get on Smith Level while going to the university. They will be forced to either turn right and make a U turn further away around Dogwood acres or they have to go through their parking lots and end up on the alternate Villages entry on BPW Club Road.

There are about 600 apartments, mostly with roommates, thus 1200 cars X 4 visits per day that will be trickling down, back and forth daily onto BPW club road as well. They will all join at the red light and wait to turn left to get on Smith Level towards the University. So we have taken the traffic jam from Smith Level Road and have moved it to BPW Club Road!

Solution: same as above

D; Where is the Traffic Jam on Smith Level Road?

The Traffic jam is at 7.30 to 8.00 while everyone is trying to get their kids to FPG Elementary School.

FPG serves a wide area, extending from Jones Ferry Road neighborhoods to Cobbleridge to Beryhill. The entry in and out of the school is grossly inefficient. This is where the bottleneck is.

Unless the traffic entry / exit problem of the elementary school is solved, the widening of Smith Level road will not make any difference in the traffic flow.

E; What are the figures of future need based on? Discussion: Who uses Smith Level Road and Why?

The folks who use Smith Level are the residents of Heritage Hills, Dogwood acres, folks on Damascus Church and the group of apartment complexes (Rockhaven, Villages, University Commons) and Southbridge, Cobbleridge and Berryhill. They flow through the bypass towards 54E and I4O or they flow through

North Greenboro into downtown Carrboro to Harris Teeter, CVS.

Since 15-501S has been widened at the bridge towards
Southern Village and further into Pitsboro, traffic on Smith Level has
decreased. The proposed increases in car traffic is not realistic. The
currently available land is under watershed regulations and development

So the extensive widening is not necessary at this time.

Thank you MUGE and ALI CALIKOGLU, MD 203 Orchard Lane mcalikog@med.unc.edu

is limited.

Subject: Opposed Project 9.8070219

Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2001 12:49:39 -0500

From: "Lynne O'Brien" < lynne.obrien@duke.edu>

To: Llane@dot.state.nc.us

Dear Leigh Lane, I am send this email in lieu of the paper comment sheet distributed for the public hearing about the Smith Level Road project in Carrboro (listed as project 9.8070219 on the comment sheet). I am strongly opposed to this project for these reasons: -the level of traffic does not warrant a four lane road with median strip -the project would require taking so much land from Berryhill home owners that it would seriously reduce the value of properties in that development, increase noise level and reduce quality of life in the neighborhood -the widening of the road would encourage more traffic through Berryhill to the apartments behind this development; the increased traffic through the neighborhood is a hazard to the many young children in that neighborhood -water run off from the increased amount of paving may cause flooding to homes in Berryhill -the project is very expensive, and the project is not sufficiently beneficial to warrant that expense

I would like to go on record as opposing this project. If there is anything else I need to do to make this an official statement, please let me know. Lynne O'Brien 102 Juniper Ct. Carrboro, NC 27510 919-960-0064

As citizens who have used Smith Level Road everyday for over six years, we have only seen one automobile accident on this road (it appeared to be a rear-end fender bender half way up the hill). It is our opinion that the road is safely traveled as it is.

Since residents of Berryhill are the only ones inconvenienced by any wait leaving the Willow Oak exit of the subdivision, and if we do not mind the wait (again, if any), then there is no need to prevent us from making the turn if we so choose. Re-routing approximately 540 cars (as your map appears to indicate) through the subdivision to the BPW Club Road exit will not only be more inconvenient for our residents, but will greatly increase the risks to our children who live in the neighborhood. Also, this proposed median will block one exit for the Village Apartments up the street causing them to exit from BPW Club Road. The line of traffic at the BPW Club Road traffic light will become horrendous as residents from the Villages, the Berryhill subdivision, and the apartments down BPW Club Road try to use this exit. This new traffic flow (or rather, jam) will not only impact us, but also public transportation riders who use the J bus.

Very few individuals turn left from Smith Level into Berryhill. But, when it does happen, the small turn lane that exists is sufficient to allow the vehicles to get out of the way of continuing traffic. If it was necessary to prevent this type of left turn, then perhaps a sign restricting the turn during peak hours would be appropriate...but not a 17 foot median.

Finally, a well landscaped thoroughfare is always pleasing to see, but not at the expense of cutting down many mature trees that line the west side (Berryhill) of Smith Level. (The town already allowed for the destruction of many trees on the east side with the approval of the (NOT affordable, poorly constructed, aesthetically DISpleasing) condominiums (that serve students and not permanent residents of Carrboro) that were recently built.)

Issue: Creating Bike Lanes and Sidewalks on Both Sides of Smith Level Road

We agree that a bike lane on both sides of Smith Level Road is much needed. Given that Carrboro is one of the "bike lane capitals" of the country, it makes since to encourage this means of transportation in this area, especially since many students live on or past BPW Club Road. A sidewalk would have similar benefits. It is not clear, however, why a sidewalk would be necessary on the west side of the road. One way to preserve the property of homeowners (permanent residents of Carrboro) whose backyards meet Smith Level Road (and who risk losing a lot of land and privacy if this project goes through as proposed) is to put a sidewalk on the east side of Smith Level only. Residents of the surrounding apartments, condominiums, the nursing home, and homes on Culbreth Road can access this sidewalk at the BPW Club Road/Smith Level Road intersection. Also, the sidewalk on the west side of the bridge could be extended to the Willow Oak Lane entrance of the Berryhill subdivision. A sidewalk in back of the homes in the Berryhill subdivision between Willow Oak Lane and BPW Club Road would serve no one.

We would like to express our opinion that the proposed changes to Smith Level Road be reconsidered. If changes are inevitable, we suggest two traffic lanes, no median, turn lanes where necessary, bike lanes on both sides of the street, a sidewalk on the east side from the bridge to Culbreth Road and on the west side from the bridge to Willow Oak Lane, and curbs and gutters. This would be a much more economical project and would better serve current and future residents of Carrboro and Chapel Hill.

Sincerely,

Gary and Donna Gilleskie

Lany and Donn Hilleshio

105 Juniper Court

Carrboro, NC 27510

(919) 932-6304

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803

Project 9.8070219

Orange County

October 25, 2001

NAME:	Carol F. Daker
•	- as R.O No Comphise N.S. 2
ADDRESS:	ANDIOR QUESTIONS: Pleved in Boundiels:
	ANTO OR OTTESTIONS: Present 1983.
COMMENTS	AND OR QUESTIONS.
بھے کے	pect to the property of
and les	making the following
2000	y times Smith Level Rend
The one	of the second of
Charle	wan the single for the fill the
(الله كنيا	is at 8: 80 AM and 5-6 PM. 1
) D	that terms there is new
THE S	a exit from Williams
y a fi	ie 10 Lavel Rd. everyday,
Endo.	that teres them willow willow with Level the every day,
July	may be mailed to:
Una	to to
chilà	new pring of and sur
1.0 - male	Defa problème which ce
alde	ma things Bengholi of a tor
are	on to O something of
Comments	may be mailed to:
Leigh B. Lan	ement and Community Studies Unit
Office of Hun	nan Environment
1583 Mail Se	priice Center
Raleigh, NC	27699-1583 Phone: (919) 250-4092 Fax: (919) 250-4200
177 27 . 17	Made state no us
·	I am submitting this vin
(Vovember 9 2001; and will
	Vonander 1 of D. D. D.

2. Dobject to a 4- lare road. Q ore-third lane willbe su to accommodate right and lap lares and ease traffic flo adding 4 lones excroaches i property volue of 3. One sidewalk on one side of nood (Smith Level) is su 4. One bike lane on the other of Smith Level Roadis se I object to there being both on ea 5. I absolutely object to evid of BPW Roadencept to cran left teun lane. This wie ruis the property of the Lone seemers near Smith and BPW Roads.

P.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA **GREENSBORO**

UNCG SCHOOL OF NURSING

FAX (336) 334-3628

FAX

Date:			7)/		
	_	,				of Huma
From:	<u> </u>	arol 3	F. B	ake	7	
Numb	er of Pa	ges (incl	uding c	over sh	eet):	3
Comm	ents:_	Ca	mma	طلب	an	<u></u>
Pu	ch lee	hea	سنم	Pro	jecif	9.8000
						,200/
If you	ı have d	lifficulty	_	is FAX	transm	ission,

Subject: Smith Level Road Project - 9.8070219

Date: Wed, 7 Nov 2001 10:18:08 EST

From: "Thomas McCown" < thomas.mccown@css.unc.edu>

To: <llane@dot.state.nc.us>

Dear Ms Lane:

The following are my comments to the public meeting (U-2803) on the proposed widening of Smith Level Road.

I was quite disconcerted when I attended the DOT meeting regarding the proposed widening of Smith Level Road. I believe that anyone with common sense would have the same reaction. The basis of the proposed widening is to alleviate present and future traffic problems, but as presented this plan will not achieve this goal. First, the major source of traffic backup revolves around the entrance to Frank Porter Graham elementary school, yet the road widening will be distal to this point. Thus, in the morning, the same traffic delays will be encountered, but now there will be a larger 0.6 mile "parking lot" to contain these vehicles.

Secondly, the DOT projected an increase in traffic volume, but this projection did not appear to account for the ongoing widening of 15-501. If as they believe that most of the cars will come from Chatam county, the widening of 15-501 should significantly reduce these estimates. Moreover, how can a 0.6 mile road widening alter the flow of cars over a several mile stretch of Smith Level Road?

Finally, if implemented as proposed, this plan will cause a phenomenal traffic jam on a road that enters Smith Level, BPW road. The plan proposes a concrete median, so residents from one Berryhill exit and residents from one exit of the Villages apartments cannot turn left onto Smith Level road. This means that an enormous number of vehicles will need to gain access to Smith Level road via BPW road. Clearly, this traffic jam will far exceed the present minor inconvenience of Smith Level Road. It is inconceivable that a traffic engineer would miss such an obvious problem, but apparently, DOT did. In fact, at the meeting, the engineer's response to this comment was that the residents of the Villages could turn right and then make a U-turn on Smith Level Road!

with tight budgets, one would assume that major expenditures would be carefully conceived, designed and implemented to best alleviate both present and future traffic problems. Even to a novice in these matters, the proposed plan to widen Smith Level Road fails to achieve any of these obvious goals. The plan is both expensive and creates more problems than it alleviates. This stretch of road does need improvements but a three lane road with the present accesses would seem more rational.

Thomas and Kim McCown 603 Manor Ridge Road Carrboro, NC 27510

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803

Project 9.8070219

Orange County

October 25, 2001

NAME:

VIRGINIA McKNIGHT CARR

ADDRESS:

100 Hunter Place, Carrboro, N.C. 27510

COMMENTS AND OR QUESTIONS: I own the McKingth residence on Smith Level Road.

I am against this exceptional and elaborate six tenths of a mile bandaid proposed to be "one step toward implementation of long range transportation plans for the area".

This project will cause immediately the gridlock travel conditions projected for the design year 2024. Larger quanties of larger vechicles in addition to standard passenger cars will be encouraged to use this section right now! There are designed bottlenecks in the design of this project.

There are designed bottlenecks in the design of this project. Four lanes and a 17.5-foot median plus 4-foot bike lanes with no means of egress onto Smith Level Road except in one direction will in itself cause gridlock conditions.

The present design places a bus stop directly in front of the residence that I own. There is no one on this side of the 83.5-foot no mans land for pedestrian traffic coming from the opposite side of Smith Level Road where the bus patrons reside. These pedestrians will be most at risk during their peak usage of the transit system (7-9am&4-6pm). I would think that it would be a safety precausion to place the bus stop across Smith Level Road on the adjoining entry/exit road where it is located at present.

Fax: (919) 250-4208

Comments may be mailed to:

Leigh B. Lane
Public Involvement and Community Studies Unit
Office of Human Environment
1583 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1583 Phone: (919) 250-4092

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803

Project 9.8070219

Orange County

.... 6 / 2001

October 25, 2001

NAME: Carol Cruz
ADDRESS: 802 Maron Redge Du 27570
COLORDATE AND OF OTHER TONG
COMMENTS AND TOR QUESTIONS: Law opposed to the charges flavored for Sh wand. Widering to 4 lanes may be reeded a 17 ft Widering to 4 lanes may be reeded a 17 ft
1 / all all a more of all
reightortood would be created significantly the
endanging the residents especially the children. The endanging the residents especially the children. The opts. on BPW use the neighborhood as a cut through opts. on BPW use the number of cars
opts. on BPW use the respect the number of cars-
15.501 is the main I I all words need to be
to cary additions up to hay an att have a detimented charged. Charging the wood will have a detimented of electronic easier affect or the neighborhood of 86 school - providing easier affect or the neighborhood of the community in
effect or the neighborhood of Pt school - providing laster
Comments may be mailed to: I tope a great four the Community of Leigh B. Lane a greene of Can be found. Without officing Public Involvement and Community Studies Unit people police of Human Environment 1583 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1583 Phone: (919) 250-4092 Fax: (919) 250-4208
Leigh B. Lane agreement can be found. Whout offerly
Public Involvement and Community Studies Unit
1583 Mail Service Center
May are
E-mail: llane@dot.state.nc.us

CITIZE

REU

NOV Ga and

COMMENT SHEET

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803

Project 9.8070219

Orange County

October 25, 2001

Linda and Ken Kastleman

Oak Spring Court, Carrboro NC 27570 ph 933 0610

COMMENTS AND\OR QUESTIONS:

As residents of Berryhill (and residents of Chapel Hill area for 25 years), we are adamantly opposed to this proposal. Although bike paths and sidewalks would make this stretch of Smith Level more neighborhood-friendly, the widening -- and particularly, the installation of a median, will cause possibly unforeseen problems for the now 100+ houses in Berry hill. The revolting of considerable traffic onto BPW Club Rd, in a family-centered subdivision That only has 2 exits (willow Oak + BPW Club) will cause major safety issues. Setting the traffic lights to a more appropriate pattern will also ease the bottleneck that occurs at 8 am and 5 pm in downtown Carrboro (Main/Greensboro) and around Frank Porter Graham

Comments may be mailed to: Elementary, We encourage The state

Leigh B. Lane Public Involvement and Community Studies Unit

Raleigh, NC 27699-1583 Phone: (919) 250-4092

not to destroy the Charm + SafeTy of this stretch of

Office of Human Environment 1583 Mail Service Center

E-mail: llane@dot.state.nc.us

Fax: (919) 250-4208

road by Invitiza even more traffic.

Thank you.

Carrboro or DOT -- whomever has the final determination regarding this: Please listen to Subject: Traffic Counts for U-2803

Date: Thu, 1 Nov 2001 11:53:37 -0500

From: "Dale McKeel" < DMcKeel@ci.carrboro.nc.us>

To: <llane@dot.state.nc.us>

Hi Leigh:

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday.

I'm not sure who I should direct this request to. I'd appreciate it if you could read the question and suggest who I should contact.

If it would be better to wait and ask this at the post-hearing meeting, please let me know.

Here's the question:

A citizen has requested information on the traffic counts for U-2803, as follows:

- 1. When were the counts done?
- 2. Did the counts coincide with construction on US 15-501 (R-942), particularly the construction in Orange County?

The citizen is asking this because he is concerned that the counts on Smith Level Rd might be artificially high due to the 15-501 construction and citizens temporarily taking Smith Level Road to avoid the construction zone.

Thank you.

Dale McKeel Transportation Planner Town of Carrboro 301 W. Main Street Carrboro, NC 27510 (919) 918-7329 dmckeel@ci.carrboro.nc.us **Subject: Public Hearing U-2803 Comments Date:** Wed, 31 Oct 2001 09:33:38 -0500 **From:** "Alan Waibel" <waibel@us.ibm.com>

To: llane@dot.state.nc.us

CC: Mayrnelson@aol.com, Becky Waibel <Becky.Waibel@sas.com>, dmckeel@ci.carrboro.nc.us

When will you respond to my attached note?

Regards, Alan PCD IPD Business Management IBM Senior Project Manager / PMP D-H8HA, Blding 205, RTP Office: 8-441-6071 or (919) 543-6071 WAIBEL@US.IBM.COM

---- Forwarded by Alan Waibel/Raleigh/IBM on 10/31/01 09:32 AM ----

Alan Waibel

To: 10/29/01 03:55 cc:

llane@dot.state.nc.us

Mayrnelson@aol.com, Becky Waibel

<Becky.Waibel@sas.com>,
pm

dmckeel@ci.carrboro.nc.us

From: Alan Waibel/Raleigh/IBM@IBMUS

Subject: Pub

Public Hearing U-2803 Comments

E-MAIL COMMENT SHEET
Smith Level Road
From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek
PUBLIC HEARING
U-2803 Project 9.8070219 Orange County

NAME: Alan Waibel

ADDRESS: 302 Orchard Lane, Carrboro, NC 27510

COMMENTS AND/OR QUESTIONS:

1) We have 2 roadway exits out of our neighborhood (Berryhill) and both have common areas maintained by all residents in Berryhill. A public hearing was held to ask for input to change one of the common areas with no official notification sent to all of the residents who maintain the roadway exit and would also be effected by the change. Also, the public hearing was scheduled while school was out of session (4 day weekend). We took the 4 day opportunity to take a short vacation. Public hearings should not be held during extended school weekends and notice should be given to all effected citizens.

2) Taking the Willow Oak to Smith Level Road left turn lane away will cause all traffic from Berryhill and the extensive BPW apartments to merge into one intersection to travel north onto Smith Level Road.. Carrboro tries very hard to increase access routes in and out of neighborhoods and to my knowledge has never supported reducing access as you are proposing.

I do not support eliminating the left turn lane.

3) Smith Level Road could be widened to 2 lanes each way without placing a massive parkway next to Berryhill but I'd like to know what is causing the project in the first place (other roadway changes?). We certainly don't want Southern Village traffic flowing into Smith Level Road (massive poorly planned Chapel Hill neighborhood flowing into Carrboro). We do not need a 17 foot median and a sidewalk on each side of the street. We take pride in all the trees in Carrboro and to remove so many would detract from the beauty of our area. I support a sidewalk on one side only and bike lanes on both sides. If you would look at Bridge No. 88 you would see it was

upgraded a few years ago to add only a sidewalk only on one side. If Smith Level Road has a sidewalk on both sides, people will still have to cross Smith Level Road in order to cross the bridge on the sidewalk side and if they don't cross the road, they would walk across the bridge inched away from traffic (very dangerous). For this reason as well as preventing the removal of so many trees, I only support a sidewalk on one side, a much narrower median, bike lanes, and question why Smith Level Road needs to be widened in the first place. New traffic won't stop at Berryhill, it would flow right into downtown Carrboro.

Please let me know when a public hearing can be held so all effected parties can speak.

Regards, Alan Waibel Office: (919) 543-6071 WAIBEL@US.IBM.COM Subject: Berryhill Homeowner

Date: Wed, 31 Oct 2001 08:47:29 EST

From: Saffiex5@aol.com

To: <llane@dot.state.nc.us>

Dear Ms. Lane let me introduce myself .I am Mitch Saffie I reside at 108 Juniper Court. How is this Street widening of Smith-Level Road going to impact me. Please respond.

Smith Level Road From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek

Public Hearing

U-2803	Project 9.8070219	Orange County	
Dr. Berti Mrs. NAME: Lauren	1 0 Ne. 1 (12	8-0338)	
ADDRESS: 208 A	Moner Ridge	Dr. Corrboro,	7
Two socest	it of the out	lic hearing, bu	+
wanted to	reterore ^	agriews odt	et.
ps it's currer	itly loid out	to the proje . I do feel 5.	n:46
level Road	Official e e 05	sidewalks, b	Ke
10225 020	detinitely	cross wolks	
get stood	3 to 30 10-	1 e 5 p - 1 0 m p - +	
light at wille	u OnKlane	to pllevistey	10.05
Concerns f	er traffic a	mellhelen	. 12+ +6-e
Comments may be maile	ed to:	₩ € 11 /3 - 11 - W	\$
Leigh B. Lane Public Involvement and Co	mmunity Studies Unit	CITIZEIN MESSER	
Office of Human Environm 1583 Mail Service Center	ent	OCT 30 2001	

Raleigh, NC 27699-1583 Phone: (919) 250-4092

E-mail: llane@dot.state.nc.us

Fax: (919) 250-4208

Subject: FW: forward concerning Smith Level Road widening...

Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 12:42:06 -0400

From: "Patricia J. McGuire" < PMcGuire@ci.carrboro.nc.us>

To: "Dale McKeel:" llane@dot.state.nc.us>, <llane@dot.state.nc.us>

Dear Dale and Leigh,

Please make note of the following regarding tonight's hearing.

Thanks.

Trish McGuire

----Original Message----

From: Robert Morgan

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2001 10:13 AM

To: Patricia J. McGuire

Subject: FW: forward concerning Smith Level Road widening ...

Trish, Would you please see that NCDOT receives the enclosed comments received by the Mayor. Thanks. RwM

----Original Message----

From: Jackrube@aol.com [mailto:Jackrube@aol.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2001 10:40 PM

To: Mayrnelson@aol.com

Subject: forward concerning Smith Level Road widening ...

Hi Mike. I'm forwarding this message to you since you more than likely know where it should go. Congrats on Sierra

Club & Indy endorsements!

Jackie

Subj: planning committee for widening of Smith Level Road: please pass on to them

Date: 10/24/01 10:28:34 PM Eastern Daylight Time

From: kmkastle@intrex.net (Linda)

To: jackie@carrboro.com

I hope I am not writing into the void, but I'm not sure at this late hour how to contact anyone else.

I have just learned about the apparent proposal for the widening of Smith Level Road as it runs along Berryhill Community and the proposed insertion of a median down the middle of the five lanes of traffic. I understand there is a planning meeting tomorrow night regarding this. However, I am leaving town early in the morning and will be gone for the weekend. I would greatly appreciate your forwarding these comments to the appropriate members of the committee.

As a resident of Berryhill, I am adamantly against the widening of this road. Such a plan would cause all the residents at the new end of the development (on the downtown Carrboro side of Willow Oak)--more than 60 homes—to use the BPW Club Rd. exit out of the development if they wanted to make a left turn and head toward the bypass or into Carrboro. As there are only the two exits for more than 100 homes, and only one of them with a traffic light, it would be ridiculous to have impatient traffic backed up in an area where schoolbuses must come in and out and where children regularly play in the culdesacs. It is poor planning that has caused there to be such a bottleneck on this road anyway. Students from University Commons and from too many developments on Culbreth Road, as well as traffic from farther south, already make it difficult to make a left turn out of Berryhill in the mornings and at end-of-day. I hope Carrboro will attend to the voices of permanent, tax-paying residents who value their neighborhoods and not to more temporary residents who do not participate in supporting the town.

By the way, I have called Department of Transportation on at least three occasions to ask for the light at BPW Club

Rd/University Commons Condos to be reset, but nothing has been done. It is apparently on some kind of time-of-day setting. Sometimes U Commons residents have a red light when the light is green for BPW Clubbers turning left onto Smith Level. At other times, the light is green in both directions (as would normally be the case unless there was a green left turn arrow). The confusion of not knowing who has the right-of-way makes that light troublesome when there's traffic coming both out of U Commons and BPW—not the least reason for which is that the cars coming out of U Commons will take the right-of-way whether it is theirs or not. The timing on that light, and more importantly, on the ones in front of Frank Porter Graham Elementary, will do a lot to ease the traffic flow along Smith Level.

Thanks for listening. Sorry for such a rushed set of comments. I will be available next week if I can provide more information.

Linda Kastleman kmkastle@intrex.net 933-0610 Public charolinement & Comm States



STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

MICHAEL F. EASLEY
GOVERNOR

LYNDO TIPPETT

SECRETARY

October 26, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO:

Mr. Joel Cranford

Congestion Management Section

FROM:

J. M. Mills, P.E.

SUBJECT:

Request for Review of Traffic Circulation at the Frank Porter

Graham Elementary School in Carrboro, North Carolina

At a recent Public Hearing for TIP U-2803, several speakers complained about the congestion on SR 1919 (Smith Level Road) due to the traffic entering and exiting the Frank Porter Graham Elementary School off SR 1919 (Smith Level Road) in Carrboro.

Project U-2803, Widening of SR 1919 (Smith Level Road), does not extend to the drive of Frank Porter Graham Elementary School.

This is to request that you review this site, along with Mr. Vance Barham, Division Traffic Engineer, to determine if any recommendations could be made to improve the congestion and circulation problem on Smith Level Road and the school.

I have attached a map showing the location of the school.

Please direct your comments to Mr. Dale McKeel, Planning Director, Town of Carrboro, 301 West Main Street, Carrboro, NC 27510, with a copy to this office.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

CITIZETT

REGENT

OCI 30 2001

JMM/gm

Ms. Leigh Lane, Unit Head, Public Involvement & Community Studies

Mr. Vance Barham, Division Traffic Engineer

Ms. Patricia McGuire, Planning Administrator, Town of Carrboro

Mr. Dale McKeel, Transportation Planner, Town of Carrboro

Berry Hill Home Owners

On October 25, 2001 the N.C. Department of Transportation held an open house and public hearing on TIP project U-2803. The subject of this meeting was the proposed widening of Smith Level Road from Rock Haven Road to the Morgan Creek Bridge.

This letter is to serve serve as notice of protest by the homeowners in the Berry Hill subdivision. We believe that the widening in its present form does not reflect the wishes of the residents of Berry Hill or the community of Carrboro. A review of the Vision20-20 plan clearly exemplifies the difference in philosophy between what is proposed and what the Town of Carrboro is willing to accept.

We request and strongly urge that this matter be reconsidered and reevaluated. The basis of this request are the following issues:

- The stated Vision20-20 plan from the Town of Carrboro would indicate opposition to the proposed widening in its present form.
- The impact of the alterations and widening made to 15-501 do not appear to be incorporated in the proposed plan. These modifications are significant to overall traffic pattern in this general area, however do not appear to be prominent in the traffic study and impact analysis study on file.
- There has been no origination and destination study done for this project. It is not enough to state that traffic will increase significantly. Where will the traffic be going? What is the purpose of the increased traffic?
- The objections of Berry Hill Home Owners have not been taken into account. A majority of the Homeowners in Berry Hill have been here for less than 30 months. Very few residents were aware of the proposed modifications prior to the purchase of their homes, including those who purchased homes within the last few months. The consequences to the vast majority that reside in Berry Hill will be immoderate, reckless and simply unfair.
- Has consideration been given to the impact of a major thoroughfare in a school zone? At a minimum, It seems imprudent
- The loss and removal of the trees along Smith Level Road is unacceptable.
 The Berry Hill community and the citizens of Carrboro have emphatically
 stated their desire to have a well-beautified arbor preserving community.
 Additionally, these trees act as a barrier between Smith Level Road and the
 Berry Hill community.

The Vision20-20 plan is a policy-making document for the Town of Carrboro to be used for the planning of its future. This document states "that Carrboro should plan and encourage the growth of trees canopies over roads to mitigate the heat and smog effect caused by superheated pavement." Additionally it states that "established roads should be widened to accommodate bike lanes and sidewalks, but not to provide additional lanes for automobiles." Clearly the present widening plan does not incorporate these stated issues.

A cursory review of the impact analysis study seems to expose key omissions. The widening of 15-501 should be prominent as it impacts the ancillary access and importance of Smith Level Road. It is not prominent in the submitted study. Secondly, the omission of an origination and destination study is glaring. Whose interests are the residents of Carrboro being asked to accommodate? What is the purpose of their visit through Carrboro? Are we pandering or catering access to Route 40? The highway portion of 15-501 and 54 can not handle the traffic that presently exists during morning and afternoon rush hour. How will the widening of Smith Level Road alleviate that stress? If that is the case then perhaps access to Route 40 from the Cole Park area may have merit. Has an extension from Stagecoach Road been considered? Without an origination and destination study commitments are being contemplated that impact our community without a logical examination of the root problem.

We think recent actions by the University of North Carolina also deserve conversation. They have taken steps via park and ride to alleviate the projected growth. That would seem to indicate that the University is concerned about influx of motor vehicle access based in its present traffic capacity.

The Berry Hill community is a family oriented community. The proposed changes affect the vast majority by changing the traffic pattern of this community. It is our contention that the quiet enjoyment of the residents of Berry Hill will be greatly diminished. Additionally, many individual families have raised concerns that the changes significantly increase safety factors for children. These important concerns are not addressed in the present plan.

Berry Hill homeowners whose property is contiguous to Smith Level Road will be exceedingly affected. It will significantly affect their property and the value of their home. The plan, as presently proposed, would take a sizeable portions of their property. These residents are insistent that no notice was provided of this plan prior to the purchase of their homes.

Nationwide communities are, for good reason, hesitant of having a major thoroughfare through a school zone. There are obvious safety and social issues that are eminently relevant to this argument that have been ignored.

We believe that Smith Level Road should have some of the proposed improvements. The Berry Hill Community is strongly in favor of the sidewalks, crosswalks and bike lanes and would consider them a welcome and a desired improvement. These modifications would also conform to the Vision20-20 plan.

There are many residents of this community that are very passionate about this issue. As part of this document please accept the petition of the Homeowners of the Berry Hill community who endorse this position. We look forward to the opportunity to discuss and review the issues that we have raised.

In conclusion I would like to leave with a well phrase quote from Supreme Court Chief Justice William Rehnquist. "An examination entails inquiry into such factors as the character of the governmental action, its economic impact, and its interference with reasonable investment backed expectations. When regulation goes too far it will be recognized as a taking."

We appreciate your consideration.

Daniel Markscheid 302 Manor Ridg Orive (aurboro, DC 27510

(919) 933 8374

Name	Address	Phone	email	
CALLEAD	cuck 503 MAN	OR RIDGE 968	-374/ Annagay	le rolad.
Gerad Bl	the 200 Money	Ridge 932-44	11) blobe ool e mc	. duke.edy
CANOS C	7 mg 20/ MArrox	RDGE 929 8	653 CLCASA OH	THAIL Con
WayreM.	Dankner 204 MANO	R RUDGE 933-12	64 dankmd@dir	rectvinternet.
Dawn U	alasco 205 ma	non Bidge Dr. 91	42-3652 dawnlt	each@aol
Duole VI	nendes 207 Ma	nor Ridge Dr. 96	42-3652 dawn Lt 19-2888 Gaturmende	's waol. com
John Ch	or 209 Mano	Kilger 94	2-7836 ihchi@	bont com
	KKIN 206 MANOR		5486 CHALEN @ NO	M. com
				-
				·
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				-

Name	Address	Phone	email
ger mitos AN & WA	well 102 Jungar	Court 960-00 Judiper Court 9	64 rager_mitchell@x 29-8812 + 9420419 browne
va & Kath	7 Cauld-Ba	own 106 Junper C	+ 9420419 browne
		. '	
<u>, </u>			

Namer	Address	Phone	email
Thicke Con	/ X	a	rechristenberryemindgring.en
		Poly 960-408	
Q. Da	adeal 503 Ma	r Rida 968-37	41 Almagnyle Tolool.co
nouis	Phillips 500 1	Nanon Ridge 932	-5534 norrisphillips a) ubrit
Stept	ner Kirs 406/	Mana Redge 960	41 Almagnyle Tolad.co
DAWA	E KILB 406	MANOR RIDGE 91	07675
Penn	Palyer 404	Manar Rd 9	0-8675 Ppadgett @ 32-7053 nc.rr. com
			33-0347 pautscottems
			33-0347 putsat@msn.a
Pott 1	hop 306 n	and Replace Ix	7329274 pthonown.i.
LARRY	KOBESKY 508 MM	m K186 979	3926
		_	0-6192
Cawl	& S. Chets-	toz Minor Risz	742 2066 nonhawaquoiti
Kuisti	Dordy 605 M	nanor Pluly Du 9	67-4124
I red Some	verwalk 704 M	our Ridge Dr. Pl	1875
Sy	Water byen -		- 929-4141
Many	au Hanly 8	184 Nami Killer E	~ Caupon NC 992 305
Morning	11 Duna	122	Co Carbitto AV ans
theel	a Owens 608	Manor Ridge	9336508

Name	Address	Pl	hone	email	
Mar	MAROTO 100 MIST		942-0375	BONA 67 & MEN	.co4
LACC	y Power 102 n	nisry Pomer pe	noy y 20	(Powoul7	30rc
	DOAC ANTIDIO 105	MIGNI DINIBE	PL 916.628	8	
Ti	mbole (05	Wity lives	Place 960	774) + GG/R	bollon Th
Jan	of athin (03	11) u	71	taluns	Derind you
Buc	bael Walsh 10	2 Orchard Lo	ve 9/8-3	880 Rae-U	<u>u</u> c@yal
US,	In Guing 22	ormano 1	LANS 968-	-6101 GULAG	OUS. IBM.
					·
	·				
					
					

Name	Address	Phone		email	
Suran/Teh	n Perbes 300 Or	nard La, 9107-4°	159 Peoble	17@ be 11500t	th. net
Seeg hung					
KEUN N	1001E 303 0	1 Child LANE 9	32-3651	KMOORE 24 Ex	e ~ rr. co. 16. rr. rom
		PROPERD LANE C			
MARY R		01 Orchardla			
Yin-FJ	CHANG 30	+ Orchard Lane	967-167	8 Novachang	y@yah.
Max BEC	Ly Warter 30	2 orcHARD UNE	933-02250	VAIBELE U.S. 1	Com BM. Con
Carolyn	Inselmann	301 Orchara L	anc. 933-06	544 Cinse	unc.ed
		307 Orchara			
Jordan	Inselmann	307 Orchard	lane	9.33-0644	1
Marke	e Davis 30	5 Ovchard Lane	910	-8069 just	madel C.Tr. Col
		213 Orchard L	are 929-	3829 MC	alikog
Ali	Calikogh	203 Orchad La	re 929-	3829 (9r	red unit
	<i></i>				

Name Address Phone email	
Mary Patricia Peres-de-Silva 803 Harvide 933-6793 Hril-Peresda Silva	m@
Dan+ Leah Markacheid 302 Maror Ridge Dr 9338374 drog	
aff Othman 505 Manor Ridge Or 933 1707 jothman eyelin	00.(0/
Goff Othman 505 Manor Ridge Or 933 1707 jothman eych. Linda C Faletto 205 Horgan Hill CE sealindade	g ms
Jane Schobel 602 manor Ridge D. 968-2151 jascha	bel
Arrelstathy Tous 200 Orchard Lane 967-5423	(• <i>(.0) / v</i>
Sharon Carlson & John Giusto 405 Manor Ridge Dr 960-7989 JG-50	c Lopri
Elmira Mangum 102 River Creek Place 968-1379 emangumaunced	m
Jasont Linda Henring 107 Juniper Cz 967-10100 Ichenning @ hormail.com	
Jing & Kim Dawson 101 Manor Redge 967-3874 Coolman @ Mintspri	ngco
Philip + Tamara Atkins 103 Misty Pines Place 960. 8533 atkins Domind	caing
Philip + Tamara Atkins 103 Misty Pines Place 960. 8533 atkins@mind Lyune O Brien and Roger Mitcheel 102 Juniper Ct., Carrboro, XC 21510 960 C	:06¢
Tilleace 105 oak Spring Ct Cause Up 275109.	12 3a
Pila DA Patricia Hartal 1020AKSPRING CAT GARLICE BATHICE	rach
Idn Allerier In Downing Ct. Chapillall, Mr 735/6	link
Cord Baken 702 Maron Relga Du. Caubra NC. 1 but	· .;
Cond Baken 702 March Relga Du. Caubro NC. 1 builting Condition of States No. 27510 47 2 uneq. ed to mindeprin	d ،حه کې

Name	Address	Phon	<u>e</u>	er	nail	
	Levitch 100 orchara				chemed.u	nc.ed.
	Heinrich 106 ligh Spring ORY DITO 103 RIVER CAL				- Oemail. ur	
GREGO	BRY DITO 103 RIVER CITE	er Pc 9	32-9561	g.dita	@worldnet	att.n
	150 103 RIVER CREE				@worldnet.	att. net
	SAFFIR 108 JUNIPER					
Donna il	Tary Gillokic 105 Juniper (t.	93	2-6204	gilleskie (aol.com	
MCK AND	JOYCE SHEPHERS 101, MKTY PIN	es place	969-6865	Thedocs	hetherol@aol·c	σιι
	NORRIS 103 MORGAN H					
CAR	1 X1 -171/2 h	ч 4	11		₹.	
Ronna	of william Nichamin 104	oak for	ng Court Ca	ر رورک	933-9382 N(h1dan100	Produgg
1/ - 1	1 /	$\boldsymbol{D} \wedge \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A}$	CODWE (F	へ みわたりいぶ	カ ルピーグラスーク	7/
M	LINDA KASILIMAD 11 15 CK J 15 January 302 Larrand O'Le. 1 208 N 27.11 O'Kane 202 Man	ORCHA	es LAVE	WA! CARLASE	SELEUS. 18M.	2225
Bert:	La-red O'Le: 1208 N	1.10m2.1	2. dge Da	Corre	soco NC 9	J28-
Sam	27:11 O'Kane 202 Man	s-Ribge	7c 258.43	302 50	- okme@	ال مح.نصر المحال
Rober	+ + Pat Farlow 300 ma	nor Ri	dge 96	7-7387	7	
MING UI	N & D MANOCHA 104 ORC	HARD LA	INE 942	-0356	don @cs. iin	ic.edi

Dale McKeel

From:

Alan Waibel [waibel@us.ibm.com] Monday, October 29, 2001 3:56 PM

Sent:

llane@dot.state.nc.us

Cc:

Mayrnelson@aol.com; Becky Waibel; Carolyn Heinrich; Dale McKeel

Subject:

Public Hearing U-2803 Comments

E-MAIL COMMENT SHEET
Smith Level Road
From Rock Haven Road to Bridge No. 88 over Morgan Creek
PUBLIC HEARING
U-2803 Project 9.8070219 Orange County

NAME: Alan Waibel

ADDRESS: 302 Orchard Lane, Carrboro, NC 27510

COMMENTS AND/OR QUESTIONS:

- 1) We have 2 roadway exits out of our neighborhood (Berryhill) and both have common areas maintained by all residents in Berryhill. A public hearing was held to ask for input to change one of the common areas with no official notification sent to all of the residents who maintain the roadway exit and would also be effected by the change. Also, the public hearing was scheduled while school was out of session (4 day weekend). We took the 4 day opportunity to take a short vacation. Public hearings should not be held during extended school weekends and notice should be given to all effected citizens.
- 2) Taking the Willow Oak to Smith Level Road left turn lane away will cause all traffic from Berryhill and the extensive BPW apartments to merge into one intersection to travel north onto Smith Level Road.. Carrboro tries very hard to increase access routes in and out of neighborhoods and to my knowledge has never supported reducing access as you are proposing. I do not support eliminating the left turn lane.
- 3) Smith Level Road could be widened to 2 lanes each way without placing a massive parkway next to Berryhill but I'd like to know what is causing the project in the first place (other roadway changes?). We certainly don't want Southern Village traffic flowing into Smith Level Road (massive poorly planned Chapel Hill neighborhood flowing into Carrboro). We do not need a 17 foot median and a sidewalk on each side of the street. We take pride in all the trees in Carrboro and to remove so many would detract from the beauty of our area. I support a sidewalk on one side only and bike lanes on both sides. If you would look at Bridge No. 88 you would see it was upgraded a few years ago to add only a sidewalk only on one side. If Smith Level Road has a sidewalk on both sides, people will still have to cross Smith Level Road in order to cross the bridge on the sidewalk side and if they don't cross the road, they would walk across the bridge inched away from traffic (very dangerous). For this reason as well as preventing the removal of so many trees, I only support a sidewalk on one side, a much narrower median, bike lanes, and question why Smith Level Road needs to be widened in the first place. New traffic won't stop at Berryhill, it would flow right into downtown Carrboro.

Please let me know when a public hearing can be held so all effected parties can speak.

Dale McKeel

From: Sent: Thomas McCown [thomas.mccown@css.unc.edu]

Wednesday, November 07, 2001 10:18 AM

To:

llane@dot.state.nc.us

Subject:

Smith Level Road Project - 9.8070219

Dear Ms Lane:

The following are my comments to the public meeting (U-2803) on the proposed widening of Smith Level Road.

I was quite disconcerted when I attended the DOT meeting regarding the proposed widening of Smith Level Road. I believe that anyone with common sense would have the same reaction. The basis of the proposed widening is to alleviate present and future traffic problems, but as presented this plan will not achieve this goal. First, the major source of traffic backup revolves around the entrance to Frank Porter Graham elementary school, yet the road widening will be distal to this point. Thus, in the morning, the same traffic delays will be encountered, but now there will be a larger 0.6 mile "parking lot" to contain these vehicles.

Secondly, the DOT projected an increase in traffic volume, but this projection did not appear to account for the ongoing widening of 15-501. If as they believe that most of the cars will come from Chatam county, the widening of 15-501 should significantly reduce these estimates. Moreover, how can a 0.6 mile road widening alter the flow of cars over a several mile stretch of Smith Level Road?

Finally, if implemented as proposed, this plan will cause a phenomenal traffic jam on a road that enters Smith Level, BPW road. The plan proposes a concrete median, so residents from one Berryhill exit and residents from one exit of the Villages apartments cannot turn left onto Smith Level road. This means that an enormous number of vehicles will need to gain access to Smith Level road via BPW road. Clearly, this traffic jam will far exceed the present minor inconvenience of Smith Level Road. It is inconceivable that a traffic engineer would miss such an obvious problem, but apparently, DOT did. In fact, at the meeting, the engineer's response to this comment was that the residents of the Villages could turn right and then make a U-turn on Smith Level Road!

With tight budgets, one would assume that major expenditures would be carefully conceived, designed and implemented to best alleviate both present and future traffic problems. Even to a novice in these matters, the proposed plan to widen Smith Level Road fails to achieve any of these obvious goals. The plan is both expensive and creates more problems than it alleviates. This stretch of road does need improvements but a three lane road with the present accesses would seem more rational.

Thomas and Kim McCown 603 Manor Ridge Road Carrboro, NC 27510 To: Carrboro Mayor, Alderman and Town Manager Re: Smith Level Road widening project Nelson, Michael, Mayor Carrboro, NC

Reich, Norah, Assistant to the Mayor

Broun, Joal Hall Dorosin, Mark

Gist, Jacquelyn, Mayor Pro Tem

McDuffee, Diana

Spalt, Allen

Zaffron, Alex

Morgan, Robert, Town Manager

White, Richard, Assistant to the

Town Manager

As an engineer, I reviewed the data on this project at the public hearing on 10/25/01. From an engineering standpoint, the current design is not optimal.

As you are most likely aware, NCDOT plans to use a NC standard 4 lane plus full median with bike lanes and a sidewalk/curb.

The widening of the road to 4 lanes plus a median is too big based on current and 20 year future projected traffic volume. In addition, the width of the roadway in its current proposed design has significant negative residential impact.

I support improving the road to a two lane with full grass and tree median with sidewalks and bike lanes.

We have a good chance to make a pleasant and safe roadway that is good for traffic flow and the residences.

The problem is it this is not NCDOT standard.

What is the Carrboro position on the proposed design of the roadway and how can the community best work with NCDOT to change the design?

Please let me know.

Thanks, Don Doody VP/GM MCMS-RTP phone 919-405-1031 cell 919-641-3332

Norah -

As I could not find e-mail addresses for the Mayor and Alderman, please forward or provide them with hard copy. Thanks,

Don

Hard sent for state public record via US mail to: Ms. Leigh B. Lane Office of Human Environment 1583 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1583

Caramore Community

Caraflora Lawn Maintenance (919) 967-3402

CaraClean Cleaning Service (919) 967-3402 550 Smith Level Road Carrboro, NC 27510 Phone (919) 967-3402 Fax (919) 942-9732 Ephesus Group Home (919) 942-1964

Fountain Ridge Group Home (919) 942-7650

November 13, 2001

Mr. Gary Loverling, PE North Carolina Department of Transportation P.O. Box 25201 Raleigh, NC 27611

Re: Project 9.8070219 U-2803 Orange County

Dear Gary:

This letter will document my concerns that you and I have discussed over the phone regarding subject project. Due to prior commitments, I was unable to attend the public meeting on October 25, 2001 held at the Carrboro Elementary School. My chief concern remains the effect upon our driveway access at 550 Smith Level Road because of the dividing island planned in the project. That driveway also serves 548 Smith Level Road, a North Carolina State public facility.

In addition to public traffic, we operate commercial vehicles from the 550 Smith Level Road location. In one of our businesses, which is a lawn service, we operate 4-door full size crew cab pickups towing sizable trailers with lawn equipment. Under the proposed widening with the dividing island running between Culbreth Road and Rock Haven Road, our lawn service vehicles will need to make U-turns at Rock Haven and Culbreth at certain times daily.

We have measured those U-turns with our vehicles and trailers. It takes a minimum of 48 feet to make a complete U-turn. If the combination of the cutouts provided at Rock Haven and Culbreth in relation to the end of the dividing island do not permit a smooth U-turn, there will be a major safety problem. As you know, a vehicle cannot begin the U-turn at the exact edge of the dividing barrier because the trailer won't clear the barrier.

While I have provided you with the minimum measurement for a smooth U-turn (48 feet), I would highly recommend that you visit us on-site and take your own measurements to insure that your proposed cutouts and dividing island provide for a safe U-turn.

Mr. Gary Loverling, PE November 13, 2001 Page 2

I have agreed to support this 4-lane divided plan subject to the ability to make a safe U-turn at Rock Haven and Culbreth Road. I would have preferred a break in the median with a turn lane into the driveway that serves 550 Smith Level Road. My complete concerns regarding this project as it pertains to our driveway is detailed in my June 13, 2000 to Mr. William D. Gilmore of D.O.T.

Sincerely yours,

John A. Simonds President/CEO

JAS:eac

cc: James L. Hodgin, Sheryl-Mar Ltd. Lenore Guidoni, Vocational Rehabilitation Robert Morgan, Carrboro City Manager William D. Gilmore, D.O.T. Art McMillan, Department of Transportation