

Low	Med	High	Phase I	BOA COMMENTS
1	2	3	Visibility <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visible from the street • Traffic count that meets or exceeds the average traffic counts of a major thoroughfare in a community • <u>Visual appeal</u> • <u>Price is within established budget.</u> 	<p>Visibility has not been an issue for the Chapel Hill Library or for many others that could be cited. Good signage on the street will alert passersby. Traffic count is incorporated under "access" below.</p> <p>There is no reason to give any further consideration to a site that is not within budget.</p>
1	2	3	Site Capacity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets minimum acreage (urban services vs. rural services) • <u>Space for building and necessary on-site parking</u> • <u>Determination of space needed shall be forward-looking in regard to future impact of library technologies and alternative transportation.</u> • Adequate utilities • Space for future expansion • Space to accommodate the necessary setbacks, road expansions and other site amenities. 	<p>Some of the parking needs may be met from parking available within a larger complex (e.g. 300 E. Main) or from proximate public parking.</p> <p>"space for future expansion" requires clarification.</p>
1	2	3	Access <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accessibility for pedestrians • Accessibility for vehicles • Accessibility for public transportation: <u>must be on an existing Chapel Hill Transit route that serves downtown Carrboro.</u> 	
<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	Centrality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Existing population</u> • <u>Growth and development</u> • <u>Proximity to low income populations</u> • <u>Proximity to schools</u> • <u>Proximity to retail</u> • <u>Proximity to other libraries</u> • <u>Site is not isolated</u> 	<p>Fundamental questions of location should be a primary concern.</p>
			Total: Phase I (If total ranking equals <u>86</u> or more, excluding a ranking of one (1), go to Phase II)	

Low	Med	High	Phase II	BOA COMMENTS
4	2	3	Centrality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing population Growth and development Proximity to schools Proximity to retail Proximity to other libraries Site is not isolated 	
<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	Community Preference <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Input from elected officials Alignment with planning tools (Comprehensive Plan) Input from a board cross-section of the area to be served Orange County Library Advisory Board Orange County & Carrboro Friends of the Library	Stakeholder input should be sought early on and can be gathered while technical research is under way.
1	2	3	Site Conditions, Allowances, and Constraints <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The cost-benefit conclusions of physical, legal, and entitlement site allowances/constraints Technical and environmental assessments (planning/zoning, jurisdictional processes, etc.) Environmentally sustainable (C&A, storm water mgt, buffers, energy "net zero" capacity) Operationally sustainable Defeats obsolescence 	
1	2	3	Cost Terms and Availability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cost for site acquisition Timeframe for development of the site Terms for site control necessary for development process 	Move cost to #1
			Total: Phase II (if the total ranking equals 6 or more, excluding , a ranking of one (1), go to Phase III)	

Low	Med	High	Phase III	BOA COMMENTS
4	2	3	Community Preference	Moved up

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Input from elected officials • Alignment with planning tools (Comprehensive Plan) • Input from a board cross-section of the area to be served • Orange County Library Advisory Board • Orange County & Carrboro Friends of the Library 	
1	2	3	Lease versus Purchase <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analysis of the long-term viability of the site • Availability of property for lease 	
1	2	3	Partnerships <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Co-location with other entity • Mutually beneficial joint development • Enhances service possibilities 	
			Total: Phase III	

DETAILED COMMENTS FROM 1-10-12 BOARD MEETING

Alderman Gist commented on the library's proposed distribution of space and how current and future technologies may reduce the amount of space needed for book storage. She also stated that throughout this process public input should be provided to the Board of Aldermen and the Aldermen should make the final zoning decision. She suggested a co-location that serves multiple needs simultaneously. She also expressed concern with the matrix and stated that the political and social implications must be considered with utmost importance throughout the process.

Alderman Haven-O'Donnell asked how the Board can make sure that Orange County considers and incorporates current and future technologies into the library siting plan and design. She also expressed concern with the way the current matrix seems to almost prohibit the library's siting in downtown Carrboro.

Alderman Lavelle asked questions related to creating a library overlay district. She asked if this would involve the Board and staff identifying prospective sites that may be available. If so, how would this process work within the context of time and the County's existing plan? Would the creation of a library overlay district and identification of a site help reduce the permitting costs for the library? Trish McGuire explained that there would still be costs related to the permitting but it could reduce the cost and time associated with obtaining a site.

Mayor Chilton stated that the library should have excellent access to existing public transportation routes and believes it is important that the library also have outstanding access for low income children and their parents. Alderman Gist added access to the elderly.

Alderman Coleman suggested that the library must be on an existing Chapel Hill transit route that serves downtown Carrboro. He does not recommend pre-identifying locations because this could cause concern amongst citizens and the library may not end up at the pre-identified site. He suggested removing the visibility section under Phase 1 of the matrix. He also expressed concern with cost and availability being located in Phase 2 of the matrix.

Alderman Slade expressed concern with the importance placed on a "traffic count that meets or exceeds the average traffic counts of a major thoroughfare in a community" in the visibility section of Phase 1.

Alderman Lavelle suggested that Phase III and Phase II should be switched because community feedback should be considered before the last phase.