A regular meeting of the Carrboro Board of Aldermen was held on Tuesday, April 13, 1993 at 7:30 p.m. in the Town Hall Board Room.

Present and presiding:

Mayor Aldermen Eleanor Kinnaird Randy Marshall Tom Gurganus Hilliard Caldwell Frances Shetley Jacquelyn Gist

Jay Bryan

Town Manager Town Clerk Town Attorney Robert W. Morgan Sarah C. Williamson Michael B. Brough

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

MOTION WAS MADE BY HILLIARD CALDWELL AND SECONDED BY TOM GURGANUS THAT THE MINUTES OF APRIL 6, 1993 BE APPROVED. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL

CERTIFICATES PRESENTED

Alderman Gurganus presented certificates to Essen Burke, Robbie Buzenberg, and Nathan Ripperton for their work in recycling plastic from two family homes and a Carrboro office building from August to December, 1992.

OATH OF OFFICE ISSUED

The Town Clerk issued an oath of office to Neil Kruback, a recent appointee to the Board of Adjustment.

JOINT WORKSESSION WITH SMALL AREA PLANNING WORK GROUP TO DISCUSS SMALL AREA PLANNING PROJECT

The Board of Aldermen met jointly with the Small Area Planning Work Group (SAPWG) to discuss policy decisions for small area planning.

Robin Lackey, Chair of the Planning Board, introduced the members of the SAPWG.

Alderman Gist stated that she was concerned about how the roads in the planning area are laid out. In addition, she stated that the SAPWG should communicate with the school system during the planning process.

Alderman Gurganus stated that he has no preconceived ideas about what should be in the small planning area, and that he is against involuntary annexation in this area.

Bud Vaden asked if it would be appropriate to use the Year 2000 Task Force Report during the planning process.

It was pointed out that the charge for the SAPWG states that applicable portions of the Year 2000 Task Force Report should be used.

Alderman Shetley asked if the Planning Board had seen the development plan created by a subcommittee of the Planning Board, specifically by Margaret Brown and Judith Williams.

Robin Lackey stated that she had seen the plan and that she would like for the Board of Aldermen to receive a brief presentation on the plan.

Alderman Bryan suggested that the Board's budget worksession scheduled for May 20, 1993 be rescheduled in order for Board members to attend the next meeting of the SAPWG scheduled for that same night. Alderman Bryan thanked the members of the SAPWG for their commitment to this project and also stated that he did not support involuntary annexation.

Mayor Kinnaird also thanked the members of the SAPWG for their commitment of time to this project and urged the work group to consider developments such as Arcadia which includes solar homes, etc.

Alderman Marshall urged the SAPWG to get a proposal back to the Board of Aldermen as soon as possible in order for the Board to obtain the necessary guidance for development in this area.

Alderman Bryan urged the members of the work group to consider how they will handle themselves during their meetings in light of the expected different view points of the members.

Mary Ayers asked that the work group have access to the thoroughfare plan.

Jef asked how the Board will deal with rezoning requests during the planning process, and asked if the Board would consider placing a moratorium on development during the planning process.

Mike Brough stated that he did not think the Board could place a moratorium on rezoning and permits, but the Board could adopt a policy to welcome or not welcome requests during the planning process.

Alex Zaffron asked if development in the area could be put in a holding pattern during the planning process.

Alderman Gurganus stated that he felt the Board should not place a moratorium on development during the planning process.

Robin Lackey stated that a petition has been submitted to the town from members of the SAPWG asking for a moratorium, but that this petition was not an official recommendation from the entire SAPWG.

Bud Vaden asked if it wouldn't be wise to have potential developers work with the SAPWG in planning developments.

Mary Ayers stated that the Hogan rezoning application pre-dated the SAPWG.

Robin Lackey stated that the SAPWG could make comments on the Hogan rezoning request at the joint planning public hearing scheduled for April 15, 1993.

Jef stated that he was not against the Hogan rezoning, but wanted to know when the work of the SAPWG would begin.

Alderman Bryan suggested that the Town Attorney develop a list of options which the SAPWG could use in considering specific development proposals during the planning process.

Carol-Ann Greenslade stated that the Hogan rezoning request came out of the blue without the SAPWG knowing about it.

John Hartley stated that he feels each rezoning will challenge the work of the SAPWG, and that he feels the SAPWG would like to work with developers.

Rob Hogan stated that it is unfortunate that his family's rezoning request pre-dated the SAPWG and feels it is unfair to his family to be caught in the middle. Mr. Hogan stated that the proposed development of the Hogan property will be a development to live with and in.

Tom High stated that he did not want to see another north Raleigh or Virginia Beach in the small planning area, and urged the Board of Aldermen to review the development proposal prepare by the subcommittee of the Planning Board.

Alderman Shetley requested that the maps prepared by the Planning Board subcommittee be shown to the Board of Aldermen very soon.

It was a consensus of the Board to request the Town Attorney develop a list of options that the Board could give to the Small Area Planning Work Group which would give the work group direction as to how it would consider specific development proposals during the planning process. In addition, that the policy should include a way to address permit applications and rezoning applications during the planning process; i.e., moratorium, communication between developers and the Small Area Planning Work Group, etc.

WORKSESSION TO DISCUSS THE TABLE OF PERMISSIBLE USES

The Board held a worksession to discuss problems, concerns and possible changes to the Table of Permissible Uses.

The Board asked that the Town Staff look at the following areas of the Table of Permissible Uses: Class A mobile homes in R-7.5, 1.400, 1.520, 1.630,

1.620, 1.640, 1.650, 2.140, 2.240, 2.340, 6.110, 6.120, 6.250, 6.260, 6.220, 7.200, 7.300, 8.000, 9.000, 10.200, 10.300, 11.000, 12.100 13.000, 14.300, 14.400, 15.200 15.300, 15.400, 17.000, 18.000, 22.000, 24.000, 29.000, 31.000.

FUNDING FOR COALITION FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

Alderman Shetley requested that the Town Manager determine whether the Town of Chapel Hill and the City of Durham have funded the Coalition for Public Transportation.

REQUEST BY TOWN OF CHAPEL HILL TO DELETE CHARGES FOR SPRINKLER SYSTEMS

Alderman Shetley requested that the Town Manager determine the status of Chapel Hill's request to seek special legislation deleting charges for sprinkler systems in businesses.

REPORT ON MEETING WITH NCDOT OFFICIALS

Alderman Bryan requested that a report on the meeting with NCDOT officials concerning the feasibility studies on improvements to Homestead Road and Smith Level Road.

REQUEST FROM CITIZENS OF WINDWOOD SUBDIVISION CONCERNING BYPASS PROJECT

Mayor Kinnaird requested that Board members visit the home of Dennis and Teresa Frye of 111 Keith Road in the Windwood Subdivision to see the extent of clearing NCDOT did to their backyard.

SIDEWALK ON MAIN STREET IN VICINITY OF CHAPEL HILL TIRE CO.

Alderman Shetley requested that the town staff look into the possibility of installing a sidewalk in front of Chapel Hill Tire Co. to connect with the Ontjes' property sidewalk on West Main St.

STATUS REPORT ON REHAB HOME LOCATED AT 402 OAK AVENUE

Alderman Bryan requested a report on the status of the incomplete rehab home located at 402 Oak Avenue.

MOTION WAS MADE BY RANDY MARSHALL AND SECONDED BY TOM GURGANUS THAT THE MEETING BE ADJOURNED AT 9:45 P.M. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL

Mayor

Town Clerk

MINUTES JOINT PLANNING PUBLIC HEARING

April 15, 1993

ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, CARRBORO BOARD OF ALDERMEN, AND ORANGE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

MEMBERS PRESENT:

<u>BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS:</u> Chairman Moses Carey, Jr., Commissioners Steve Halkiotis, Don Wilhoit, Verla Insko, Alice Gordon

<u>CARRBORO BOARD OF ALDERMEN:</u> Mayor Eleanor G. Kinnaird, Aldermen Frances Shetley, Jacquelyn Gist, Hilliard Caldwell, Randy Marshall, Tom Gurganus, Jay Bryan

ORANGE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD: Larry Reid, Bill Waddell, Virginia Boland, Paul Hoecke, Joan Jobsis, Clint Burklin

ORANGE COUNTY ATTORNEY: Geoffrey Gledhill

TOWN OF CARRBORO ATTORNEY: Michael B. Brough

<u>ORANGE COUNTY STAFF:</u> County Manager John Link, Planner Mary Willis, Planning Director Marvin Collins

TOWN OF CARRBORO STAFF: Town Manager Robert Morgan, Senior Planner Julia Trevarthen, Deputy Town Clerk James Spivey

<u>PLEASE NOTE:</u> All material referenced herein is on file in the Clerk's Office.

The Orange County Board of Commissioners, Carrboro Board of Aldermen, and the Orange County Planning Board met in joint session on April 15, 1993 at 7:30 P.M. in the OWASA Operations Center.

Chairman Carey and Mayor Kinnaird welcomed the citizens in the audience.

I. PUBLIC HEARING/REZONING REQUEST -- LAKE HOGAN FARM, INC.

Chairman Carey explained that the public hearing was being held to receive citizens' comments regarding proposed rezoning requests for property owned by Lake Hogan Farm, Inc, and property owned by Virginia Pollitzer. If approved, the requests would involve amendments to both the Orange County Zoning Atlas and the Carrboro Zoning Map. He explained that the Lake Hogan Farm, Inc. request would be presented first and the Virginia Pollitzer request second.

Geof Gledhill explained two procedural questions because two different boards have to act on the request. The first question dealt with the effect of a Protest Petition on the rezoning request. Mr. Gledhill explained that protest petitions are foreign to county boards and their zoning activity; however, protest petitions are a part of town zoning activity. The second question concerned the process that would be followed after the public hearing and the process that leads to a final decision which would be made by the two boards. Mr. Gledhill explained that if the protest petition was determined to be valid by the Town of Carrboro, a 4/5 vote by the governing board would be required for approval of the proposed rezoning request. The protest petition would not affect the County Board's decision.

Mary Willis made the presentation for the Lake Hogan Farm, Inc. rezoning request. Ms. Willis gave an historical review of the Joint Land Use Plan and Joint Planning Agreement. Ms. Willis explained that the Lake Hogan Farm is located in the T-1 Transition Area, which means that it is slated for development with densities of 1 to 5 units per acre. The proposed rezoning request to change zoning from R-R to R-20 designation is in accordance with the Joint Planning Area Land Use Plan.

Julia Trevarthen presented information regarding the two different zoning districts of R-R and R-20. Ms. Trevarthen explained that the zoning districts are similar in many of the uses permissible in each. However, there are a number of uses permissible in the R-R zoning district which are not permissible in the R-20 zoning district. Additionally, a significant difference between the Carrboro R-R and R-20 zoning districts is the maximum number of dwelling units allowed per acre. Carrboro's R-R allows 1 dwelling unit per acre; R-20 allows up to 2.1 dwelling units per acre. Dimensional requirements are the same for both districts. Ms. Trevarthen reviewed the maximum number of units that could be built per the respective zoning districts, reviewed possible transportation impacts, and explained that the properties could be served by public water and sewer.

Chairman Carey read into the MINUTES letters and memoranda received by the County and the Town of Carrboro regarding the proposed rezoning request, and they were:

- Letter from Spence and Eleanor Leighton Letter from Michael Tashjian and Christene Petry 2.
- 3. Letter from Carolyn Miller
- Letter from Forrest Greenslade 4.
- Letter from Jef 5.
- Memo from Roy Williford to the Board of Aldermen regard-6. ing the Protest Petition
- 7. Memo from Michael Brough to Julia Trevarthen regarding Application of Protest Provisions
- 8.
- PROTEST PETITION, received by the Town on April 12, 1993 Memo from Robin Lackey to the Mayor and Board of Aldermen 9. regarding a protest petition submitted by nine citizens who are also members of the Small Area Planning Work Group
- 10. Protest Petition submitted by nine citizens
- Letter from Mr. & Mrs. H. Taylor Vaden to Roy Williford 11. and Marvin Collins
- 12. Telephone call from Joe and Barbara Arendt voicing

opposition to the proposed rezoning Chairman Carey recognized Dan Jewell, representative for the applicants of the proposed rezoning, to make his presentation.

Dan Jewell stated that the rezoning request of the applicants would be amended to withdraw one-hundred feet (100') from the southern border of the property, allowing a 100-foot buffer area between the original rezoning request boundary and the Stoney Hill Subdivision. This amended request reduces the acreage of the proposed rezoned area. Mr. Jewell stated that the proposed rezoning request does not mean that the land will be annexed nor does the request mean that the land will be developed at five units per acre. He also stated that development of the land would not be a project that would happen immediately but would be a project developed over the next decade or so. Mr. Jewell stated that public water and sewer would service the area and low to moderate density development is the goal of the applicants.

Alderman Jacquelyn Gist questioned the effect of the applicant's amended request of allowing a 100' buffer along the southern border of the property.

Michael Brough explained that, by law, the 100' buffer nullifies the petitioners' protest petition. Additionally, the law allows an applicant to lessen the area of one's rezoning request, prior to or during the hearing of the request.

Commissioner Alice Gordon asked whether a fiscal impact analysis had been done and if so, it should be shared with the board.

Mr. Jewell stated that a fiscal impact analysis had not been done to date, but could be done and shared with the board at a later time.

Commissioner Don Wilhoit questioned the status of the trailer park and requested that staff give a report listing the subdivisions in the transition area, with lot sizes and the density of each development.

Commissioner Gordon added that a map depicting land use activity in the transition area over the last ten years would be helpful.

Chairman Carey opened the public hearing to receive citizens' comments.

Mary Ayers voiced support for the rezoning request stating that the applicants had dedicated much time and thought to their rezoning request, so far as to solicit input from their neighbors and some staff members. Ms. Ayers criticized a brochure that was created and circulated in the community by those opposing the rezoning request because the brochure contained mis-information and untrue statements.

Bob Cosgrove voiced opposition to the rezoning request because higher zoning density would reduce his property value.

Bart Willis voiced support of the rezoning request stating that a great deal of thought has been dedicated to the rezoning request and to a possible plan for development. The plan would be sensitive to the land and wildlife. Mr. Willis stated that planned development is better that hit-and-miss development.

Jane Cates Fowler voiced support of the rezoning request stating that planned development would be good for the community and land use.

Stephanie Padilla read a protest petition, signed by nine (9) members of the Small Area Planning Work Group, in opposition of the rezoning request. The petition requested a moratorium on any and all rezoning requests in the T1 and T2 land in the transition area until the Small Area Planning Work Group (SAPWG) has time to execute the charge given it by the Carrboro Board of Aldermen.

Linda Roberts voiced opposition to the rezoning request because of traffic impact and higher density. Ms. Roberts stated that she accepts that development of the land is inevitable, but feels that the SAPWG should be allowed to execute the charge given it by the Carrboro Board of Aldermen.

Chip Baker read a petition requesting denial of the rezoning request because of the density impact on Seawell School, area road and the wildlife corridors. Mr. Baker also stated that approving the rezoning request would undermine the charge of the SAPWG and the 2000 Task Force Report.

John Hartley presented sketched scenarios of areas, in closer proximity to the town limits, for possible development other than Hogan Farm. Mr. Hartley voiced opposition to the rezoning request citing the density impact on the land, school, and roads. Mr. Hartley also stated concern that the Hogan land is a large part of the area the Carrboro Board of Aldermen issued a charge to the SAPWG to consider possible planning/development scenarios.

Sally Nussbaumer stated that she does not have a problem with the rezoning request per se but rejects the process used by the governing boards to consider the request. With the process being used by the governing boards, Ms. Nussbaumer stated that the SAPWG would not be allowed to execute the charge issued by the Carrboro Board of Aldermen for planning the future development of the transition area. Ms. Nussbaumer asked that the SAPWG become part of the democratic process.

Carol Ann Greenslade addressed the Board/Commissioners as a member of the SAPWG and stated that the SAPWG should be allowed to execute the charge thereof; she asked that a moratorium be placed on all rezoning requests in the T1 and T2 area. Ms. Greenslade stated that the applicant's request has been made solely for increase financial gain. She stated that rezonings should not be granted for this purpose.

Bud Vaden reviewed the legal criteria for requesting and granting rezonings. Mr. Vaden stated that individual financial gain and wants should not outweigh community well being.

Carol Miller asked denial of the rezoning request because of the increased density and its impact on Seawell School. Doris Kaneklides voiced concerns of water run-off and urged the governing bodies to gather information regarding water run-off if the property is developed.

Stephen Dear voiced opposition to the rezoning request because of traffic impact, impact on the school system, a wildlife sanctuary would be destroyed, and requested that an environmental impact study be done. Mr. Dear stated that due democratic process should be used when considering the proposed project and not the closed process being used presently. Additionally, Mr. Dear stated that personal gain should not be justification for granting a rezoning request.

Sidney Harrell voiced opposition to the rezoning request citing traffic impact and stormwater run-off. Mr. Harrell asked that a comprehensive stormwater model be developed and run for Bolin Creek Watershed with particular emphasis on the T1 transition area.

Chuck Mosher voiced opposition to the rezoning request citing the negative impact on the land and the destruction of wildlife habitat.

Steve Davis read a letter from H. Trawick Ward which voiced opposition to the rezoning request because of the increase in traffic, the increase in the number of children to go to an already overcrowded school, the negative impact on the environment, and the destruction/disturbance any archeological material/information.

Tree Moore voiced opposition to the rezoning request because of the negative impact on the environment, the increase in traffic, the negative impact on the school, and the negative impact of higher density on property value. Mr. Moore stated that this request is made by applicants for personal financial gain.

Jean Worth read a letter from Maggie Grace voicing opposition to the rezoning request and stated that the request is made by applicants for personal financial gain.

Lynn Jaffe voiced opposition to the rezoning request stating that higher density would have a negative impact on surrounding property owners. Ms. Jaffe stated that granting the request would undermine the charge given by the Carrboro Board of Aldermen to the SAPWG and would be out of sync with the Carrboro 2000 Task Force Report. Additionally, Ms. Jaffe stated that financial personal gain is not justification for granting the request.

Barrie Vaden voiced opposition to the rezoning request stating that careful planning of the transition area is very important and that mechanisms and processes already in place should be used in planning the transition area.

Chris Hogan, one of the applicants, gave an historical review of situations/circumstances which has brought the Hogans to making this rezoning request. Mr. Hogan stated that a proposed development plan was presented to members of the community approximately 1½ years ago to receive their input. From their input the plan was modified to include their input; additionally, the plan was informally presented to members of staff. Mr. Hogan voiced his astonishment of hearing community people say that they knew nothing of the plan and that the Hogans are trying to run this request through the system. He also stated schools are presently overcrowded and whether the rezoning request is granted or not, the overcrowding situation will still exist. He explained that a great deal of soul-searching, time, effort, and planning was dedicated to making this request and developing a development plan. He explained that the request and development of the property is not just for personal financial gain. Mr. Hogan took issued with the Small Area Planning Work Group and the charge issued by the Carrboro Board of Aldermen. Mr. Hogan stated that the rezoning request and a development plan have been in the making for approximately five (5) years, receiving input from members of the community and from local staff, and now those same people (community members on the Small Area Planning Work Group) are asking that the request and development plan be delayed until after the SAPWG executes its charge; Mr. Hogan felt that the community/SAPWG members' request is unfair because of the time, effort, and input solicited for the plan by the applicants. He felt that the SAPWG has been in existence for

approximately two (2) months and should not be the group to review/decide his request/development plan which has been given consideration for years now. Also, Mr. Hogan voiced his dedication to and love of the land, ecologically and environmentally.

Rob Hogan, one of the applicants, asked that the governing board/commission grant the request because the applicants are environmentally and ecologically sensitive. Mr. Hogan also stated that compromise is very important in considering this request and stated that the applicants began the compromise process by receiving community members' input and by not using the highest possible density allowed in the requested zoning designation.

Katie McLaurin voiced support of the rezoning request and stated her disappointment with the scare tactics used by the people opposing the rezoning request. Ms. McLaurin presented an information brochure which was sent home with the students of Seawell School which contained misleading statements, mis-information, and untrue statements. (The authors of the brochure did acknowledge that some of the information in the brochure was untrue.) Ms. McLaurin stated she has no problem with people opposing the request, but requested that the opposition should deal in fact and not innuendo.

Ann Leonard, Rob Hogan's wife, asked that rezoning request be granted because the family would still live on the land and continue farming. Ms. Leonard stated that the land would be developed closer to the lowest density allowed in the proposed zoning designation than toward the highest density allowed.

Alan Finkel voiced opposition to the rezoning request and stated that individual financial gain should not outweigh the community welfare.

Livy Ludington voiced opposition to the rezoning request because of the traffic impact, negative impact on the school, and the negative impact on the environmental. However, Ms. Ludington felt that farmer should be paid fairly for their land if the land is to be preserved. Ms. Ludington urged all parties involved to work together to come to a compromise.

Gary Giles stated that he signed a protest petition, not because of the higher density requested; but because he felt that the density should be increased more. Mr. Giles stated that the land's physical features can force land preservation for open space and wildlife and higher density development can take place. Additionally, he requested that a moratorium be placed on the T1 and T2 transition areas.

Jan Hogan, Chris Hogan's wife, asked that the board/commission approve the rezoning request. Ms. Hogan discussed the Hogans' love of the land, livestock, and all wildlife. She discussed the painstaking effort, time, consideration, and solicited input her husband and all the Hogans had dedicated to this plan. Ms. Hogan stated that the plan is well-thought out and will be an asset to the area.

Ester Atwater McCauley voiced support of the rezoning request stating that knowing the Hogans' concern for the land, she has confidence that any development they proposed would be environmentally and ecologically sensitive.

MOTION WAS MADE BY DON WILHOIT AND SECONDED BY VERLA INSKO TO REFER THE PROPOSED REZONING TO THE CARRBORO PLANNING BOARD MEETING OF MAY 06, 1993 FOR A RECOMMENDATION TO BE PRESENTED TO THE CARRBORO BOARD OF ALDERMEN ON MAY 25, 1993; AND AFTER ACTION BY THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN, REFER THE PROPOSED REZONING TO THE ORANGE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD MEETING OF JUNE 21, 1993 FOR A RECOMMENDATION TO BE PRESENTED TO THE ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON AUGUST 02, 1993. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL.

MOTION WAS MADE BY TOM GURGANUS AND SECONDED BY JACQUELYN GIST TO REFER THE PROPOSED REZONING TO THE CARRBORO PLANNING BOARD MEETING OF MAY 06, 1993 FOR A RECOMMENDATION TO BE PRESENTED TO THE CARRBORO BOARD OF ALDERMEN ON MAY 25, 1993; AND AFTER ACTION BY THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN, REFER THE PROPOSED REZONING TO THE ORANGE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD MEETING OF JUNE 21, 1993 FOR A RECOMMENDATION TO BE

PRESENTED TO THE ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON AUGUST 02, 1993. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL.

II. PUBLIC HEARING/REZONING REQUEST -- VIRGINIA POLLITZER

Mary Willis made a staff presentation stating the location of the property and that the total acreage is 16 acres. Ms. Willis explained that the property is currently zoned R-20 which allows a density of up to 2.1 dwelling units per acre. The proposed zoning is R-15, which allows a density of up to 2.9 dwelling units per acre.

Julia Trevarthen made a staff presentation comparing the R-20 and R-15 zoning districts and how both the present and the requested zoning conforms with the Joint Planning Area Land Use Plan. Ms. Trevarthen stated that the uses permissible in the R-20 and R-15 zoning districts are virtually identical with only one difference. Dimensional requirements are, generally speaking, somewhat less in the R-15 zoning district. The Pollitzer property can be served by OWASA.

Michael Hughes, representing Ms. Pollitzer, addressed the Board stating that plans for development of the land have not been done yet, but once developed, the land would be serviced by OWASA.

No citizen spoke either for or against the proposed rezoning.

MOTION WAS MADE BY VERLA INSKO AND SECONDED BY STEVE HALKIOTIS TO REFER THE PROPOSED REZONING TO THE CARRBORO PLANNING BOARD MEETING OF MAY 06, 1993 FOR A RECOMMENDATION TO BE PRESENTED TO THE CARRBORO BOARD OF ALDERMEN ON MAY 25, 1993; AND AFTER ACTION BY THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN, REFER THE PROPOSED REZONING TO THE ORANGE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD MEETING OF JUNE 21, 1993 FOR A RECOMMENDATION TO BE PRESENTED TO THE ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON AUGUST 02, 1993. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL.

MOTION WAS MADE BY JACQUELYN GIST AND SECONDED BY TOM GURGANUS TO REFER THE PROPOSED REZONING TO THE CARRBORO PLANNING BOARD MEETING OF MAY 06, 1993 FOR A RECOMMENDATION TO BE PRESENTED TO THE CARRBORO BOARD OF ALDERMEN ON MAY 25, 1993; AND AFTER ACTION BY THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN, REFER THE PROPOSED REZONING TO THE ORANGE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD MEETING OF JUNE 21, 1993 FOR A RECOMMENDATION TO BE PRESENTED TO THE ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON AUGUST 02, 1993. VOTE: AFFIRMATIVE ALL.

III. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Deputy Town Clerk